Case 2:09-cv-01235-MCE-DAD Document 3 Filed 05/05/09 Page 1 of 2

1	Alan Gura (Calif. Bar No. 178221) Gura & Possessky, PLLC 101 N. Columbus St., Suite 405							
2								
3	Alexandria, VA 22314 703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665							
4	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (Calif. Bar No. 179986)	l						
5	Law Offices of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C. 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150							
6	San Jose, CA 95125 408.264.8489/Fax 408.264.8487							
7	IN THE LINITED STA	TEC DICT	DICT COLIDT					
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
9								
10	Deanna Sykes, et al.,)	Case No.					
11	Plaintiffs,)	NOTICE OF CLAIM OF					
12	v.)	UNCONSTITUTIONALITY [L.R. 24-132(b)]					
13	John McGinness, et al.)						
14	Defendants.)						
15)						
16	NOTICE OF CLAIM OF UNCONSTITUTIONALITY							
16	COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Deanna Sykes, Andrew Witham, Adam Richards, Sec							
17	Amendment Foundation, Inc. ("SAF"), and The Calguns Foundation, Inc. ("CGF"), by an							
18	Amendment roundation, me. (SAF), and The	Caiguiis F	oundation, file. (COT), by and					

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Deanna Sykes, Andrew Witham, Adam Richards, Second Amendment Foundation, Inc. ("SAF"), and The Calguns Foundation, Inc. ("CGF"), by and through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Local Rule 24-132 provide notice that this action questions the constitutionality of California Penal Code § 12050, to the extent that provision requires applicants for permits to carry handguns to demonstrate their moral character and good cause for seeking the permit.

Plaintiffs claim that they enjoy a fundamental constitutional right under the Second and Fourteenth Amendments to carry arms. Although Plaintiffs concede that the right may be regulated in certain respects in the interests of public safety, it cannot be either completely abolished, nor can a license to exercise that right be conditioned on applicants' moral character and good cause. Constitutional rights do not depend on the government's determination that individuals are of sufficient moral character, or truly have good cause to desire exercise of the

Claim of Unconstitutionality Page 1 of 2 <u>Sykes, et al. v. McGinness, et al.</u>

	Case 2:09-cv-01235-MCE-DAD Docume	ent 3	Filed 05/05/09	Page 2 of 2			
1	right. Penal Code § 12050's moral character and good cause requirements are therefore						
2	unconstitutional on their face or as applied to Plaintiffs.						
3	Dated: May 5, 2009		Respectfully subn	nitted,			
4	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr. (Calif. Bar No. 179986 Law Offices of Donald Kilmer, A.P.C.	<u>)</u>	Alan Gura (Calif. Gura & Possessky				
5	1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125		101 N. Columbus Alexandria, VA 2	St., Suite 405			
6	408.264.8489/Fax 408.264.8487 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com		703.835.9085/Fax	2 703.997.7665			
7	I	By:	/s/ Alan Gura	_			
8			Alan Gura				
9			Attorneys for Plai	ntiffs			
10 11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
2526							
27							
28							
-							
	Claim of Unconstitutionality Pag	e 2 of	f 2 <u>Syk</u> o	es, et al. v. McGinness, et al.			